

AF

PTO/SB/21 (09-06)
Approved for use through 03/31/2007. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

	Application Number	09/766,730			
TRANSMITTAL	Filing Date	January 22	22, 2001		
FORM	First Named Inventor	Claudio L.K. Lins			
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Art Unit	1744			
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Examiner Name	Monzer R. Chorbaji			
	Attorney Docket Number	6289			
Total Number of Pages in This Submission					
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)  After Allowance Communication to TC					
Fee Transmittal Form	Orawing(s)		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences  Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)		
Fee Attached	icensing-related Papers				
L Amendment/Reply	Petition				
After Final	Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		Proprie	etary Information	
	Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address Terminal Disclaimer			Letter	
Extension of Time Request			Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below):		
Express Abandonment Request	equest for Refund		Interview Summary Post Card		
Information Disclosure Statement	CD, Number of CD(s)				
Landscape Table on CD				÷ ;	
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)  Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53					
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT					
Firm Name Reinhart Boemer Van Deuren P.C.					
Signature:					
Printed name Andrew J. Heinisch					
Date April 5, 2007		Reg. No.	43666		
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING					
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on					
the date shown below: Signature  Notu Journal					
Typed or printed name Tracey Johnson			Date	April 5, 2007	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing; and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Claudio L.K. Lins

Group Art Unit: 1744

Appln. No.: 09/766,730

Examiner: Monzer R. Chorbaji

Filed: January 22, 2001

For: ELECTROSTATIC

**DISINFECTANT DELIVERY** 

## **INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicant agrees with the Examiner's characterization in the Interview Summary dated March 26, 2007. Applicant and the Examiner only discussed the Appeal Brief rule, namely 37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v) and whether that rule required that each dependent claim argued separately be summarized in the Appeal Brief. Applicant pointed out that only dependent claims which included means plus function and step plus function format needed to be summarized, not merely just any dependent claim argued separately on appeal. The Examiner sought advice from the Board who indicated that the Brief was compliant such that the brief did not need to be revised. In a follow-up, the Examiner therefore called the undersigned attorney to indicate that the Non-Compliant Appeal Brief Notice mailed on 3/9/2007 has been withdrawn. As such, the substance of the interview was merely procedural in nature.

It is submitted that to the extent Applicant is required to file a statement of the substance of the interview as may be suggested in the Interview Summary prepared by the

In re Application of: 09/766,730 Application No.: Claudio L.K. Lins

Examiner and mailed on 3/26/2007, it is submitted that this instant document satisfies this requirement. Applicant also thanks the Examiner for his attention to this procedural issue.

Respectfully submitted,

Andrew J. Heinisch, Registration No. 43666

One of the Attorneys for Applicant(s)

REINHART BOERNER VAN DEUREN P.C.

2215 Perrygreen Way

Rockford, Illinois 61107 Telephone: (815) 633-5300 Facsimile: (815) 654-5770

Date: April 5, 2007